

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/185,550	11/04/1998	MINORU SEKIGUCHI	8261516JDH 5524 EXAMINER	
21171	7590 01/19/2006			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HAN, QI	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		2654	
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/185,550	SEKIGUCHI, MINORU		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Qi Han	2654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 2005.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,13 and 15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,13 and 15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/09/2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

3. This communication is responsive to the applicant's amendments dated 11/09/2005 and 08/16/2004. Applicant amended claims 1-2, 13 and 15.

The examiner withdraws the claim rejection under 35 USC 112, because the applicant amended the claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/09/2005 with respect to the rejection for claims 1-3, 13 and 15 under 35 USC 103, (see the amendment: page 5-8), have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, because the amended independent claims

introduce new issue(s) and/or change the scope of the claim(s) (see detail in the claim rejection below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-3, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over DAVIS et al. (5,177,685) hereinafter referenced as DAVIS,

As per claim 1, DAVIS teaches automobile navigation system using real time spoken driving instructions (title), including using (processing) data from a position sensor (col. 1, line 66), comprising:

"storing data groups in a database" and "a word representing a characteristic of a corresponding data group is attached to each of said data group, said data groups being obtained by classifying numerical inputs from said sensor directly of after processing" (col. 2, lines 19-43, 'the map database...includes features that affect speed of travel...', 'positions are...stored in the map database...', 'driving instructions generated ...the two issues for spoken directions are what to say and when to say it (data groups)', 'large taxonomy of inter-section types (corresponding to database and including classifying)', 'chooses verbs (words) to indicate (corresponding to attach) the kind (data group) of intersection', 'refer to landmarks and timing ...'; col. 11, line 42 to col. 12, line 31, 'position finding system determine position directly by detecting an external signal' and 'position keeping system estimated the current position from knowledge of an earlier position and the change in position', 'measure the amount of turning...distances,...difference in rotation (numerical inputs)', 'position sensor...includes a displacement sensor and a direction sensor' (classifying inputs));

"outputting" "word attached to the corresponding data group among the data groups stored in said database if the corresponding data group is found to be similar to sensor input, when the sensor input is received", (col. 2, lines 35-67, 'spoken direction', 'instruction', 'speech, especially synthetic speech, as an output media', 'utterances be repeatable on demand', 'construct a new utterance with the same intent, but not necessarily the same words, as a previous message', wherein the speech is necessarily associated with (attached to) the database data groups, such as 'chooses verbs' or 'refer to landmarks'; col. 15, lines 61-64, 'instruction-vp—generate a verb phrase' and 'instruction-np—generate a noun phrase' (read on word attached to the corresponding data groups and are similar to sensor input respectively));

"temporarily storing input data from said sensor as data of a new data group after classifying said input data when it is determined that said input data does not belong to any of said groups classified in said database" and "attaching a word to said data of said new group temporarily stored to store said data of new group in said database" (col. 20, lines 54-67, 'able to model the uncertainty of a position', 'errors ...occur if the database is somewhat out date', 'acquires a model of the user automatically...learn the driver's reaction time (necessarily storing it as an input data) by measuring the time', which suggests that at least one input data is classified as reaction time (in a new data group) and is temporarily stored for later use in processing and/or outputting the related spoken instruction).

DAVIS does not explicitly teach that the processed and/or attached word is "a (the) natural language word". However, it is noted that DAVIS discloses 'discourse generator (col. 3, line 22) and 'description function to generate a description of the action...takes inputs specifying the size of the description (brief or long), the tense (past, present or further), and the reference

Art Unit: 2654

position'(col. 15, lines 26-67), and providing the example sentences for the instructions (col. 16, lines 9-14 and (col. 19, lines 48-50) that are obviously corresponded to natural language words, which suggests that the DAVIS' system has capability of implementing functionality as claimed. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to provide discourse generator with specific instructions associating natural language words, as taught by DAVIS himself, for the purpose of providing specific and/or sufficient direction and increasing the driver's confidence for user using the system (DAVIS: col. 15, lines 40-50).

As per claim 2, it recites a sensor data processing apparatus with means-plus functions. The rejection is based on the same reason described for claim 1, because the rejection for claim 1 covers the same or similar limitations or equivalent functionalities as claim 2, wherein, the data received/derived from 'the position sensor' (DAVIS: col. 11, line 42 to col. 12, line 67) corresponds to the claimed "state or state change", and 'instruction-vp—generate a verb phrase' and 'instruction-np—generate a noun phrase' (DAVIS: col. 15, lines 61-64) correspond the claimed "dynamic characteristic" and "static characteristic".

As per claim 3 (depending on claim 2), DAVIS further teaches "status judging means for judging a status using a certain word attached to a group', (col. 14, line 24 to col. 16, lines 67, 'the acts in the working prototypes...(including judging a status)', 'short description', 'long description', 'verb phrases', 'specifying direction with landmark', 'a cue is expressed either as a full sentence ...or a proposed reposition phrase').

As per claim 13, it recites a computer-readable storage medium. The rejection is based on the same reason described for claim 1, because the rejection for claim 1 covers the same or

Art Unit: 2654

similar limitations or equivalent functionalities as claim 13, wherein, the data received from 'the position sensor' is read on the claimed "unrelated to language".

As per claim 15, it recites a method. The rejection is based on the same reason described for claim 1, because the rejection for claim 1 covers the same or similar limitations or equivalent functionalities as claim 15.

Conclusion

6. Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to: 571-273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or: 571-273-8300, (for informal or draft communications, and please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

If no Mail Stop is indicated below, the line beginning Mail Stop should be omitted from the address.

Effective January 14, 2005, except correspondence for Maintenance Fee payments, Deposit Account Replenishments (see 1.25(c)(4)), and Licensing and Review (see 37 CFR 5.1(c) and 5.2(c)), please address correspondence to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, etc.) as follows:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Window, Mail Stop ______ Randolph Building Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qi Han whose telephone numbers is (571) 272-7604. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil, can be reached on (571) 272-7602.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Inquiries regarding the status of submissions relating to an application or questions on the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028 between the

Art Unit: 2654

hours of 6 a.m. and midnight Monday through Friday EST, or by e-mail at: ebc@uspto.gov. For general information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

QH/qh January 11, 2006

DAVID D. KNEPPER
PRIMARY EXAMINER